Detection of Radiation Induced Changes in Human Lens Epithelial Cells Using Raman Spectroscopy

Made By: Achint Kumar



Background

- Occupational exposure to ionizing radiation
- 0.5 Gy threshold



http://www.magic4walls.com/wallpaper/ aircraft-wings-sky-sun-photo-vintage-clouds-onhigh-desktop-wallpaper-29288.html https://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/ imagegallery/ image_feature_2350.html https:// www.healthcare.siemens.co.in/ radiography/digital-x-ray/multix-

Goals

- Can we measure different dosage of radiation non-invasively?
- How low dosage can we distinguish?
- Can we find out the biomolecular changes responsible for the differentiation?

Experiment

- Collect fixed HLE cells from Health Canada
- Five classes: 0, 0.25, 0.5, 2 and 5 Gy fixed HLE cells
- Target nucleus and cytoplasm (3X3 grid)
- Nucleus: 3x3 grid (3um step size)
- Cytoplasm: 3x3 grid (1um step size)

Principles and Techniques

- Raman scattering
- Confocal Microscopy
- Pre-processing
- PCA
- LDA

Raman Scattering

- Inelastic scattering of photons with matter
- Raman spectroscopy: Distribution of intensities at different Raman shifts
- Each material has a unique Raman fingerprint



Confocal Microscopy

- Provides optical sectioning of sample
- Reduces quartz fluorescence, so better SNR



Principal Component Analysis

- Dimensionality reduction- much more features than data set
- Removes correlated features (PCs are uncorrelated)



Feature 2

Principal comp.

direction 1

Linear Discriminant Analysis

• Maximize difference in mean divided by sum of scatter to get optimal classification



http://stackoverflow.com/questions/33844198/reproduce-fisher-linear-discriminant-figure

Raman Micro-spectrometer



Data Analysis

- 531 nucleus targets
- 346 cytoplasm targets
- PCA-LDA for classification (75% training, 25% training)



Confusion Matrix for nucleus targets

• 80.5% accuracy (test set)



50-fold cross-validation

• 79.5% accuracy

0	98	3		8	3	88%	3%		7%	3%	ξ	38%	13%
25	3	53	1	14	9	4%	66%	1%	18%	11%	6	66%	34%
50		4	75	4	6		4%	84%	4%	7%	8	34%	16%
200	2	2		87	15	2%	2%		82%	14%	Ę	32%	18%
500				23	65				26%	74%	7	74%	26%
	0	र्दे	S)	300	500	0	જ	ŝ	- Co dictod cla	<i>\$0</i> 0	F	True Positive Rate	False Negative Rate
	Predicted class						Predicted class						

Difference Spectra



LD Loadings Spectra for 0 and 25cGy



LD Loadings Spectra for 0 and 200cGy



Confusion Matrix for cytoplasm

• 83.1% accuracy (test set)



LD Loadings Spectra for 0 and 25cGy



Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	Assignment
751	Mitochondrial DNA
1002	C-C aromatic ring stretching, Phenylalanine (collagen assignment)
1005	Phenylalanine
1345	glucose

LD Loadings Spectra for 0 and 200cGy



Conclusion

- An accuracy of ~80% was achieved in classifying different classes
- Molecular concentration changes was determined for irradiated cells.

Challenges and Opportunities

- New non-linear Machine learning algorithms issue of molecular assignment?
- Automation of data acquisition processcurrently 12 hours/cell sample-increasing laser intensity, etc
- Fixed to live cell analysis

Thank You